

UNITED STATES-PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

uc

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/044,867	01/10/2002	John P. Watson	D-5186	3309
30409	7590 01/06/2004		EXAMINER	
INTERNATIONAL ENGINE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY COMPANY 4201 WINFIELD ROAD			Y CORRIGAN, JAIME W	
P.O. BOX 1			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WARRENV	TILLE, IL 60555		3748	
			DATE MAILED: 01/06/2004	· / D

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	•				
		10/044,867	WATSON ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Jaime W Corrigan	3748					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence a	ddress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, eply within the statutory minimur od will apply and will expire SIX (tute, cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered time (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of the come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06	October 2003.						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1-3,5-13,15-22,24-32,34-41 and 43-46 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,5-13,15-22,24-32,34-41 and 43-46 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 4,14,23,33 and 42 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examing The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ccepted or b) object he drawing(s) be held in a ection is required if the dr	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). rawing(s) is objected to. See 37 C					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the att	ached Office Action or form P	TO-152.				
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
a) 13)⊠ / s 3 a 14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a life Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome ince a specific reference was included in the 7 CFR 1.78. The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome deference was included in the first sentence of	ents have been receive ents have been receive riority documents have eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) ist of the certified copie estic priority under 35 U first sentence of the sp provisional application estic priority under 35 U	d. d in Application No been received in this Nationa). es not received. U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional pecification or in an Application thas been received. U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since	al application) n Data Sheet. e a specific				
Attachmen		🗖		. (.)				
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) 🔲 Not	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No ice of Informal Patent Application (PT er:					

Application/Control Number: 10/044,867

Art Unit: 3748

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the Amendment filed on 06 October 2003. Claims 1, 10, 19, 38 have been amended. Claims 4, 14, 23, 33, 42 are withdrawn. Overall, claims 1-3, 5-13, 15-22, 24-32, 34-41, 43-46 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5-13, 15-22, 24-32, 34-41, 43-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hu (PN 5,379,737) in view of Paul et al. (PN 4,892,067).

Hu discloses an electrohydraulic actuator (See Abstract, Figure 4 (10')) having a piston (See Figure 4 (70)) being translatable responsive to an actuating fluid bearing on a piston surface (See Columns 3-6), the piston surface being in fluid communication with an actuator valve (See Figure 4 (30)), the actuator valve being in selective fluid communication with a source (See Figure 4 (32), Column 3 Lines 51-60) of actuating fluid under pressure, the actuator valve (See Column 3 Lines 51-60) being shiftable to selectively port and vent actuating fluid to and from the piston surface; and a rocker arm (See Figure 4 (130)) being rotatable about a hinge point (See Figure 4 (Not numbered but clearly visible), a first arm portion extending from the hinge point to a proximal end (See Figure 4 (From the rocker shaft toward (140)) and a second arm portion extending

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/044,867

Art Unit: 3748

from the hinge point to a distal end (See Figure 4 (From the rocker shaft toward (130)), the proximal end being operably coupled to the piston and the distal end being operably coupled to the the master piston (See Figure 4 (60)), the first arm portion being shorter than the second arm portion (See Figure 4), the piston generating a linear translation that is imparted to the rocker and proximal end for imparting substantially all of an opening activation to the valve (See Column 3 Lines 61-67, Column 4 Lines 1-12, Columns 5-6); the actuator valve (See Figure 4 (30)) being actuated by at least one solenoid (See Column 3 Lines 46-48); the actuator valve being actuated by a first solenoid (See Figure 4 (30)) and an opposed spring (See Figure 4 (30)); the source of actuating fluid under pressure being a high pressure rail (See Figure 4, Column 3 Lines 51-60); the actuating fluid being engine lubricating oil (See Column 3 Lines 33-60); a hydraulic adjust mechanism (See Figure 4 (60)) being disposed intermediate the electrohydraulic actuator piston (See Figure 4 (70)) and the rocker arm (130)); discloses the actuator valve being in selective fluid communication with a reservoir at substantially ambient pressure (See Column 3 Lines 58-60).

Hu fails to disclose the distal end being operably coupled to the valve and the electrohydraulic actuator being displaced laterally from a valve longitudinal axis.

Paul teaches that it is conventional in the art to utilize the distal end (See Figure 2 (102)) being operably coupled to the valve (See Figure 2 (106)) and the

Application/Control Number: 10/044,867

Art Unit: 3748

electrohydraulic actuator (See Figure 2 (132), (142)) being displaced laterally from a valve longitudinal axis.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized the distal end being operably coupled to the valve taught by Paul in the Hu device since it would improve valve train space savings.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/044,867

Art Unit: 3748

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kreuter (PN 5,117,213) discloses a similar valve actuator.

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Jaime Corrigan whose telephone number is (703) 308-2639. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:30 a.m. – 6:00 p.m. 2nd Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas E. Denion, can be reached on (703) 308-2623. The fax number for this group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

JC

Jaime Corrigan

Patent Examiner

Page 5

December 29, 2003

Art Unit 3748

THOMAS DENION
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700